

# Hyde Park/North Logan Transportation Corridor

Environmental Impact Statement  
Project No. STP 1232(1)1

**PUBLIC REVIEW COPY**

Draft Environmental Impact Statement  
and Draft Section 4(f) and 6(f) Evaluation  
March 2007





**Hyde Park/North Logan Transportation Corridor**

**Draft Environmental Impact Statement  
And Draft Section 4(f) and 6(f) Evaluation**

Submitted Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332 (2) (c) and 49 U.S.C. 303  
by the  
U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration  
and  
Utah Department of Transportation

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Approval

\_\_\_\_\_  
For Utah Department of Transportation

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Approval

\_\_\_\_\_  
For FHWA

The following persons may be contacted for additional information concerning this document:

Ed Wolford, Environmental/Right-of-Way  
Specialist  
Federal Highway Administration  
2520 West 4700 South, Suite 9A  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84118-1847  
801-963-0078

Brad Humphreys, Project Manager  
  
Utah Department of Transportation  
166 West Southwell Street  
Ogden, Utah 84404  
801-620-1684

This Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation analyzes the environmental consequences of proposed improvements to the transportation network in the Hyde Park/North Logan Area. The project study area extends from 1400 North to 3700 North, and from SR-91 to 400 East. Maintaining the current social environment is important to the cities and the residents within the study area. The purpose of this project is to improve mobility and provide a transportation infrastructure to support economic development within the study area through the year 2025. Four alternatives, including the No Build Alternative, were advanced and have been evaluated in this DEIS.

Comments on this Draft EIS are due by \_\_\_\_\_ and should be sent to:

**Hyde Park / North Logan Corridor EIS**

c/o J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc.  
40 West Cache Valley Blvd., Bldg. 3B  
Logan, Utah 84341



# Contents

1.	Purpose and Need	1-1
1.1.	Study Area Background	1-1
1.2.	Purpose	1-3
1.2.1.	Reduce traffic congestion on SR-91.	1-3
1.2.2.	Improve mobility within the study area.	1-3
1.2.3.	Enhance local and regional connectivity of Hyde Park, North Logan and neighboring communities.	1-4
1.2.4.	Support local economic and development goals.	1-4
1.3.	Need	1-4
1.3.1.	Future roadways must be consistent with the Cities' General Plans and CMPO 2025 LRTP.	1-5
1.3.2.	Provide a roadway parallel to SR-91 within the study area connecting to the neighboring communities.	1-5
1.3.3.	Improve the north-south traffic flow in the study area.	1-5
1.3.4.	Improve public safety for SR-91 ingress and egress.	1-5
1.3.5.	Provide additional access to properties within the study area for economic development.	1-5
1.3.6.	Reduce congestion on SR-91.	1-6
1.3.7.	Provide bicycle and pedestrian access within the study area.	1-6
1.3.8.	Accommodate future transit service.	1-6
1.4.	Logical Termini	1-6
1.4.1.	Logical termini connections	1-7
1.4.2.	Independent utility or significance	1-7
1.4.3.	Consideration of alternatives for other reasonably foreseeable transportation improvements	1-7
1.5.	Area Planning Studies	1-8
1.5.1.	UDOT Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (UDOT STIP FY 2004-2008)	1-8
1.5.2.	Cache Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization 2025 Long Range Transportation Plan	1-9
1.5.3.	Hyde Park 2000 General Plan	1-11
1.5.4.	North Logan 2001 General Plan	1-11
1.5.5.	Smithfield Comprehensive Plan 1997	1-12
1.6.	Existing Transportation Network	1-12
1.6.1.	Existing Roadways	1-14
1.6.2.	Level of Service	1-15
1.6.3.	Roadway and Safety Deficiencies	1-17
1.7.	Need for Mobility Improvements	1-17
1.7.1.	Population Growth	1-18
1.7.2.	Economic Development	1-19
1.7.3.	Employment Growth	1-22
1.7.4.	Future Level of Service	1-23
1.7.5.	Bicycle, Pedestrian and Equestrian Use	1-24

1.7.6.	Public Transit	1-24
1.8.	Purpose and Need Summary	1-25
2.	Alternatives	2-1
2.1.	Alternative Development Process	2-1
2.1.1.	First Screening of Alternatives	2-5
2.1.2.	Results of First Screening	2-11
2.1.3.	Second Screening of Alternatives	2-14
2.1.4.	Alternatives Carried Forward for Detailed Study	2-18
2.2.	Traffic Analysis	2-21
2.2.1.	Traffic Volumes	2-21
2.2.2.	Design Speed	2-23
2.2.3.	Typical Sections and Design Speeds	2-24
2.3.	Operational Analysis	2-28
2.3.1.	Existing Facilities	2-28
2.3.2.	Proposed Facilities	2-29
2.3.3.	Analysis for Year 2007	2-29
2.3.4.	Analysis for Year 2025	2-31
3.	Affected Environment	3-1
3.1.	Land Use	3-2
3.1.1.	Existing Land Use (Zoning)	3-2
3.1.2.	Existing Land Use Planning	3-6
3.1.3.	Logan City Land Use Planning	3-12
3.2.	Prime and Statewide Important Farmland	3-14
3.2.1.	Farmland Designations within the Study Area	3-14
3.3.	Social Environment	3-17
3.3.1.	Residential Communities	3-17
3.3.2.	Social Environment	3-20
3.3.3.	Neighborhood Travel Patterns and Accessibility	3-23
3.3.4.	Public Facilities and Services	3-23
3.4.	Environmental Justice	3-26
3.4.1.	Low-Income Households	3-26
3.4.2.	Ethnic Minority Households	3-29
3.4.3.	Businesses that Employ Ethnic Minorities	3-31
3.5.	Economic Conditions	3-33
3.5.1.	Economic Development Patterns	3-33
3.5.2.	Property Boundaries and Access Management	3-38
3.5.3.	Agricultural Production	3-41
3.6.	Joint Development	3-42
3.6.1.	Utilities	3-42
3.7.	Pedestrians and Bicyclists	3-44
3.8.	Air Quality	3-45
3.8.1.	Air Quality Standards	3-45
3.8.2.	Study Area Air Quality Existing Conditions	3-48
3.9.	Noise	3-49
3.9.1.	Characteristics of Noise Measurement	3-49
3.9.2.	Regulatory Overview	3-51

3.9.3.	Existing Noise Levels	3-51
3.10.	Water Quality/Water Rights	3-55
3.10.1.	Statutory Requirements	3-55
3.10.2.	Water Quality of Surface Conveyances	3-57
3.10.3.	Storm Water Management	3-59
3.10.4.	Groundwater Quality	3-60
3.10.5.	Water Rights	3-62
3.11.	Wetlands and Water Bodies	3-64
3.11.1.	Statutory Requirements	3-64
3.11.2.	Delineated Wetlands and Water Bodies	3-65
3.11.3.	Wetland Functions	3-67
3.12.	Floodplains	3-72
3.12.1.	National Flood Insurance Program Maps	3-72
3.12.2.	Unmapped Floodplains in the Study Area	3-73
3.13.	Fish and Wildlife	3-74
3.13.1.	Existing Habitats Within the Study Area	3-74
3.13.2.	Fisheries	3-74
3.13.3.	Wildlife	3-75
3.13.4.	Migratory Bird Treaty Act	3-75
3.13.5.	State Sensitive Species	3-76
3.14.	Threatened and Endangered Species	3-78
3.14.1.	Maguire Primrose ( <i>Primula maguirei</i> ) Threatened	3-78
3.14.2.	Bald Eagle ( <i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i> ) Threatened	3-79
3.14.3.	Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo ( <i>Coccyzus americanus</i> ) Candidate	3-79
3.14.4.	Canada Lynx ( <i>Lynx canadensis</i> ) Threatened	3-80
3.15.	Historic and Archaeological Resources	3-81
3.15.1.	Review of Archival Data	3-81
3.15.2.	Resources Identified	3-82
3.16.	Hazardous Waste Sites	3-85
3.17.	Visual Resources	3-88
3.18.	Wild and Scenic Rivers	3-88
3.19.	Relocation	3-88
4.	Environmental Impacts	4-1
4.1.	Land Use Impacts	4-1
4.1.1.	Cache County Land Use	4-3
4.1.2.	Hyde Park City Land Use	4-5
4.1.3.	North Logan City Land Use	4-7
4.1.4.	Logan City Land Use	4-10
4.2.	Prime, Unique, and Statewide Important Farmland Impacts	4-11
4.3.	Social Environment Impacts	4-12
4.3.1.	Community Socialization and Social Cohesion within the Study Area	4-12
4.3.2.	Neighborhood Travel Patterns	4-23
4.3.3.	Public Facilities and Services	4-26
4.3.4.	Comparison of Social Impacts	4-27
4.4.	Environmental Justice Impacts	4-29

4.4.1. No Build Alternative	4-30
4.4.2. Alternative 3	4-30
4.4.3. Alternative 5	4-31
4.4.4. Alternative 6A	4-31
4.4.5. Alternative 6B	4-32
4.5. Economic Impacts	4-33
4.5.1. No Build Alternative	4-33
4.5.2. Alternative 3	4-34
4.5.3. Alternative 5	4-36
4.5.4. Alternative 6A	4-36
4.5.5. Alternative 6B	4-38
4.5.6. Comparison of Economic Impacts	4-39
4.6. Joint Development	4-40
4.6.1. No Build Alternative	4-40
4.6.2. Build Alternatives	4-40
4.7. Pedestrians and Bicyclists Impacts	4-41
4.7.1. No Build Alternative	4-41
4.7.2. Build Alternatives	4-41
4.8. Air Quality Impacts	4-43
4.8.1. No Build Alternative	4-43
4.8.2. Build Alternatives	4-44
4.9. Noise Impacts	4-48
4.9.1. No Build Alternative	4-50
4.9.2. Alternative 3	4-52
4.9.3. Alternative 5	4-55
4.9.4. Alternative 6A	4-56
4.9.5. Alternative 6B	4-57
4.10. Water Quality/Water Rights Impacts	4-60
4.10.1. No Build Alternative	4-60
4.10.2. Build Alternatives	4-61
4.11. Wetlands and Water Bodies Impacts	4-68
4.11.1. No Build Alternative	4-68
4.11.2. Build Alternatives	4-69
4.12. Floodplain Impacts	4-73
4.13. Fish and Wildlife Impacts	4-74
4.13.1. No Build Alternative	4-74
4.13.2. Build Alternatives	4-75
4.14. Threatened and Endangered Species Impacts	4-77
4.15. Historical, Archaeological, and Paleontological Resource Impacts	4-78
4.15.1. No Build Alternative	4-79
4.15.2. Alternative 3	4-79
4.15.3. Alternatives 5 and 6A	4-80
4.15.4. Alternative 6B	4-82
4.16. Hazardous Waste Site Impacts	4-83
4.16.1. No Build Alternative	4-83
4.16.2. Alternative 3	4-83

4.16.3. Alternatives 5, 6A, and 6B	4-84
4.17. Visual Resource Impacts	4-86
4.17.1. No Build Alternative	4-86
4.17.2. Alternatives 3, 5, 6A, and 6B	4-86
4.18. Wild and Scenic Rivers Impacts	4-87
4.19. Property Acquisition and Relocation Impacts	4-88
4.19.1. No Build Alternative	4-88
4.19.2. Build Alternatives	4-88
4.20. Energy Impacts	4-101
4.21. Construction Impacts	4-102
4.21.1. Water Quality and Wetlands	4-102
4.21.2. Vehicle, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Traffic	4-102
4.21.3. Air Quality	4-103
4.21.4. Noise	4-103
4.21.5. Vegetation	4-103
4.21.6. Utilities	4-104
4.21.7. Hazardous Materials	4-104
4.21.8. Cultural Resources	4-104
4.22. Cumulative Impacts	4-106
4.22.1. Past, Present and Future Projects	4-106
4.22.2. Land Use and Economic Cumulative Impacts	4-107
4.22.3. Air Quality Cumulative Impacts	4-108
4.22.4. Water Quality/Water Rights Cumulative Impacts	4-108
4.22.5. Wetlands/Water Bodies Cumulative Impacts	4-113
4.22.6. Historic and Archeological Cumulative Impacts	4-114
4.23. Mitigation and Permits Summary	4-115
4.23.1. Mitigation	4-115
4.23.2. Permit and Regulation Compliance Requirements	4-118
5. Section 4(f) Evaluation	5-1
5.1. Proposed Action	5-1
5.1.1. Purpose and Need	5-3
5.1.2. Alternatives Considered	5-4
5.1.3. Typical Section of Proposed Action (Build Alternatives)	5-6
5.2. Identification of 4(f) Resources	5-11
5.2.1. Public Parks and Recreation Areas	5-11
5.2.2. School Playground Areas	5-15
5.2.3. Historic Properties	5-16
5.2.4. Summary of Properties Qualifying for Section 4(f) Protection	5-18
5.3. Impacts on Section 4(f) Resources and Determination of “Use”	5-20
5.3.1. Impacts to Public Parks and Recreation Areas	5-20
5.4. Avoidance Alternatives	5-30
5.4.1. Alternative A – No Build	5-30
5.4.2. Alternative B	5-30
5.4.3. Alternative C	5-30
5.4.4. Alternative D	5-31
5.4.5. Alternative E	5-31

5.4.6.	Summary of Avoidance Alternatives	5-34
5.5.	Measures to Minimize Harm	5-35
5.6.	Coordination	5-36
5.7.	Identification of 6(f) Resources	5-37
5.7.1.	Impacts to Section 6(f) Resource	5-40
6.	Comments and Coordination	6-1
6.1.	Steering Committee Coordination	6-1
6.2.	Public Involvement Co-ordination	6-2
6.2.1.	Identification of stakeholders	6-3
6.2.2.	Compiling of project background information	6-3
6.2.3.	One-on-one and small group interviews	6-3
6.2.4.	Series of Three Open House Workshops	6-6
6.2.5.	Special Advisory Stakeholder Groups	6-7
6.2.6.	Communication to Public through Press Releases and Direct Mail	6-8
6.2.7.	Quick and Ongoing Availability to Stakeholders	6-9
6.3.	Cooperating Agencies	6-10
6.4.	City Council Coordination (North Logan and Hyde Park)	6-10
6.5.	CMPO Coordination	6-10
7.	List of Preparers	7-1
8.	Agency List	8-1
9.	References Cited	9-1
10.	List of Acronyms	10-1
11.	Appendix	11-1